

REGULATION RISK READY

POST CREDIT-CRISIS RISK MITIGATION

Across industries and issues, the demands of regulators are ever increasing — perhaps none more than those aimed at the financial institutions (FIs) at the center of our economy. FIs saw the spotlight increase following the 2008 credit crisis, a trend that is expected to continue as regulators continue to expand responsibilities and fine tune longstanding rules. Among the sectors facing a high level of scrutiny are:

- Banking and capital markets.
- Asset management.
- Alternative asset management.
- The insurance industry.

Successfully navigating this landscape — both in the US and internationally — requires an in-depth understanding of regulatory demands and proven insurance and risk management strategies to address them. The following is an overview of the top regulation risks facing the alternative asset management sector.

ALTERNATIVE ASSET MANAGEMENT

1. **FEES AND DISCLOSURE:** In the past six months, the Security and Exchange Commission's (SEC's) most frequent settlements have centered on improper disclosure of fees to limited partners. These include monitoring and deal breakup fees as well as legal costs — there have been settlements as high as \$39 million.

What to Watch for: This area is a major focus of the SEC, and includes issues around the disclosure of insurance premiums. While there is not uniformity in the way insurance premiums are allocated among alternative asset managers, it seems that the SEC is most concerned with the methodology used to determine the percentage that managers are paid.

2. **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST:** Various investment vehicles need to be adequately managed.

What to Watch for: As investors become more sophisticated and are willing to invest larger amounts of money at one time, preferential terms in the form of sidecars, or separately managed accounts (SMA), are becoming more frequent. The preferential nature of these arrangements is coming under increasing scrutiny by the SEC. The issue surrounds disclosure of those preferential terms to other limited partners in traditional investment vehicles who are also part of a fund that is investing along with the sidecar or SMA. The risk here is getting a black mark from the SEC — being investigated and forced to pay a fine for not adequately disclosing the preferential terms of these side agreements.

3. **INSIDER TRADING AND COMPLIANCE CULTURE:** Insider trading continues to pose the longest running regulatory threat to alternative asset managers. On the heels of several high profile insider trading settlements, the SEC is focusing on personal liability of individual bad actors.

What to Watch for: For the first time, chief compliance officers (CCOs) may be found to be personally liable not for their own bad acts, but for a deficient compliance culture. Innovations in insurance products and services can help mitigate this risk.



Marsh is one of the Marsh & McLennan Companies, together with Guy Carpenter, Mercer, and Oliver Wyman.

This document and any recommendations, analysis, or advice provided by Marsh (collectively, the "Marsh Analysis") are not intended to be taken as advice regarding any individual situation and should not be relied upon as such. The information contained herein is based on sources we believe reliable, but we make no representation or warranty as to its accuracy. Marsh shall have no obligation to update the Marsh Analysis and shall have no liability to you or any other party arising out of this publication or any matter contained herein. Any statements concerning actuarial, tax, accounting, or legal matters are based solely on our experience as insurance brokers and risk consultants and are not to be relied upon as actuarial, tax, accounting, or legal advice, for which you should consult your own professional advisors. Any modeling, analytics, or projections are subject to inherent uncertainty, and the Marsh Analysis could be materially affected if any underlying assumptions, conditions, information, or factors are inaccurate or incomplete or should change. Marsh makes no representation or warranty concerning the application of policy wording or the financial condition or solvency of insurers or reinsurers. Marsh makes no assurances regarding the availability, cost, or terms of insurance coverage. Although Marsh may provide advice and recommendations, all decisions regarding the amount, type or terms of coverage are the ultimate responsibility of the insurance purchaser, who must decide on the specific coverage that is appropriate to its particular circumstances and financial position.